

Community resources

[Follow us on Twitter](#) [Check our Reddit](#) [Twitter this](#) [Digg this page](#) [Contact us on IRC](#)

courage is contagious

Viewing cable 08SANJOSE762, DEMARCHE RESPONSE: COSTA RICA OPPOSES ABKHAZ AND

If you are new to these pages, please read an introduction on the [structure of a cable](#) as well as how to [discuss them](#) with others. See also the [FAQs](#)

Understanding cables

Every cable message consists of three parts:

- The top box shows each cables unique reference number, when and by whom it originally was sent, and what its initial classification was.
- The middle box contains the header information that is associated with the cable. It includes information about the receiver(s) as well as a general subject.
- The bottom box presents the body of the cable. The opening can contain a more specific subject, references to other cables ([browse by origin](#) to find them) or additional comment. This is followed by the main contents of the cable: a summary, a collection of specific topics and a comment section.

To understand the justification used for the classification of each cable, please use this [WikiSource](#) article as reference.

Discussing cables

If you find meaningful or important information in a cable, please link directly to its unique reference number. Linking to a specific paragraph in the body of a cable is also possible by copying the appropriate link (to be found at the paragraph symbol). Please mark messages for social networking services like Twitter with the hash tags **#cablegate** and a hash containing the reference ID e.g. **#08SANJOSE762**.

Reference ID	Created	Released	Classification	Origin
08SANJOSE762	2008-09-22 22:31	2011-08-30 01:44	CONFIDENTIAL	Embassy San Jose

Appears in these articles:

<http://www.nacion.com/2011-03-12/Investigacion/NotasDestacadas/Investigacion2711772.aspx>
<http://www.nacion.com/2011-03-10/Investigacion/NotasDestacadas/Investigacion2707705.aspx>
<http://www.nacion.com/2011-03-10/Investigacion/NotasSecundarias/Investigacion2707712.aspx>
<http://www.nacion.com/2011-03-10/Investigacion/NotasSecundarias/Investigacion2707716.aspx>

VZCZCXYZ0000
OO RUEHWEB

DE RUEHSJ #0762 2662231
ZNY CCCCC ZZH
O 222231Z SEP 08
FM AMEMBASSY SAN JOSE
TO RUEHC/SECSTATE WASHDC IMMEDIATE 0117
INFO RUEHGG/UN SECURITY COUNCIL COLLECTIVE IMMEDIATE
RHEHNSC/NSC WASHDC IMMEDIATE

C O N F I D E N T I A L SAN JOSE 000762

SIPDIS

FOR WHA, WHA/CEN AND IO/UNP:KMOORE

E.O. 12958: DECL: 09/22/2018
TAGS: [CR](#) [GG](#) [PGOV](#) [PREL](#) [UNGA](#)
SUBJECT: DEMARCHE RESPONSE: COSTA RICA OPPOSES ABKHAZ AND
SOUTH OSSETIAN PARTICIPATION IN FORMAL UNSC MEETINGS ON
GEORGIA

Classified By: Classified by Pol-Economic Counselor David E. Henifin per 1.4(d)

11. (C) On September 15, in a pull aside during local national day celebrations, DCM Brennan raised the Abkhazia and South Ossetia meeting idea with President Oscar Arias and FM Bruno Stagno as directed per Ref A. Because of the setting, the conversation was brief and general. Arias and Stagno supported the idea of an informal meeting, in principle.

12. (C) On September 16, Pol-Econ/Counselor followed up with Stagno, s COS, Antonio Alarcon, who echoed his minister, s and president, s views. Of course8 Costa Rica would support an informal (Arria-format) meeting, he said. The GOCR remained in favor of &hearing all sides8 on the Georgia issue. Alarcon could not say, however, whether or not the GOCR would actually vote against any official UNSC sessions to discuss the Georgia issue that include reps from the two break-away areas. He agreed that Russia would certainly favor/push for official Abkhazia and South Ossetia inclusion in official sessions, but said he would have to check with Stagno before responding to us on the GOCR, s position.

13. (C) After conferring with FM Stagno, Alarcon confirmed on September 17 that Costa Rica strongly favors an informal &Arria8 style meeting on this issue so that all sides can be heard, and that Costa Rica would vote against any official UNSC meeting on Georgia that included representatives from the the breakaway areas. Alarcon stressed that &we share your (USG) views on this,8 and he reiterated that position in a follow-up conversation with Pol-Econ Counselor on September 22 (based on Ref B).
CIANCHETTE